

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/623,154	TAKAHASHI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jill M. Gray	1774	

All Participants:

(1) Jill M. Gray.

(2) Mr. Bilodeau.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 7 December 2006

Time: _____

or 6 Dec. 2006

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

18

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed amending claim 18 to include limitations drawn to producing a desired reflection reducing wavelength band and reflectance

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)